arXiv:1510.08212v1 [math.SG] 28 Oct 2015

SYMPLECTIC STRUCTURES ON 2-STEP NILPOTENT LIE ALGEBRAS

ELISABETH REMM, MICHEL GOZE

Abstract. We study symplectic structures on nilpotent Lie algebras. Since the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras in any dimension seems to be a crazy dream, we approach this study in case of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras (in this sub-case also, the classification fo the dimension greater than 8 seems very difficult), using not a classification but a description of subfamilies associated with the characteristic sequence. We begin with the dimension 8, first step where the classification becomes difficult.

Introduction

Let M be a $2p$-dimensional differentiable manifold. A symplectic form on M is a differential 2-form θ satisfying $\theta^p = \theta \wedge \cdots \wedge \theta \neq 0$ and $d\theta = 0$, where d is the derivation operator of the De Rham cohomology of M. The existence problem of a symplectic form on an even dimensional differentiable manifold is very classical. Although any point $x \in M$ has a neighborhood $V(x)$ admitting locally a symplectic form, it is often very difficult to extend it to the whole variety. We get around this problem when M is a Lie group G and the symplectic form is left invariant. In this case the existence problem reduces to the existence on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the Lie group G of an exterior 2-form (antisymmetric bilinear form) of maximal rank $2p$ and closed for the cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with values in $\mathbb{R}$. It will be similar when M is a nilvariety $M = \Gamma/G$ with G is a nilpotent Lie group and Γ a cocompact discret subgroup. In fact any symplectic form on M is cohomologous to an invariant form on G. So the existence of a symplectic form on M reduces to the existence of an exterior 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}$. So we will focus on this last problem.

To simplify, we will call symplectic Lie algebra an even dimensional Lie algebra over the field $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ which admits a symplectic (bilinear) form. The problem of determining whether an arbitrary Lie algebra admits symplectic structures is difficult in general. It is solved for nilpotent Lie algebras up to the dimension 6 ([4]) because the classification of nilpotent Lie algebras is known up to the dimension 7. Several sub-families of nilpotent symplectic Lie algebras have been described. For example, symplectic filiform algebras, that is nilpotent Lie algebras with a maximal nilindex $n = \dim \mathfrak{g} - 1$, are studied in [5, 13, **?**], symplectic Lie algebras which are of Heisenberg type in [7], symplectic nilpotent Lie algebras associated with graphs [17], or free nilpotent Lie algebras [2].

In this paper, we are interested by symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra which are 2-step nilpotent that is with a nilindex equal to 2. Recall that the differential geometry of the 2-step nilpotent Lie groups is the subject of many papers. Of course, we know sub-families of symplectic 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, as the nilradicals of parabolic subalgebras of split simple Lie algebras [6]. We process here using the description of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras based on the characteristic sequence (see [10]). As the dimension 8 is the first that

Date: 28 Tichri 5776.

we meet without classification results, we are obliged to expose a method which is not based on the classification. Thus, in this paper, we are principally interested by this dimension.

1. Symplectic structures on Lie algebras

1.1. **Generalities.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. We denote by $\mathfrak{g}^*$ the dual vector space of $\mathfrak{g}$ and by $\Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}^*$ the space of skew-symmetric p-linear forms on $\mathfrak{g}$. For any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$, we consider the skew-symmetric 2-form $\theta = d\alpha$ which is defined as follows:

$$d\alpha(X,Y) = -\alpha[X,Y]$$

for any $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. For any $\theta \in \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^*$, we define the skew-symmetric 3-form $d\theta$ given by

$$d\theta(X,Y,Z) = \theta([X,Y],Z) + \theta([Y,Z],X) + \theta([Z,X],Y)$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. In particular we have

$$d(d\alpha) = 0$$

for any $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. The 2-form θ is called closed if $d\theta = 0$ and it is called exact if $\theta = d\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$. In fact, these operators d coincide with the differential operators associated with the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex of $\mathfrak{g}$:

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{K} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}^* \xrightarrow{d_1} \Lambda^2\mathfrak{g}^* \xrightarrow{d_2} \ldots\ldots \xrightarrow{d_{n-1}} \Lambda^n\mathfrak{g}^* \longrightarrow 0 \ , \tag{1}$$

with

$$d_p\Omega\,(X_1,\ldots,X_{p+1}) = \sum_{1\le i<j\le p+1} (-1)^{i+j-1}\Omega([X_i,X_j],X_1,\ldots,\hat{X}_i,\ldots,\hat{X}_j,\ldots,X_{p+1}). \tag{2}$$

for any $\Omega \in \Lambda^p\mathfrak{g}^*$ and $X_1,\ldots,X_{p+1} \in \mathfrak{g}$. The cohomology of this complex $(\Lambda^*\mathfrak{g}^*, d)$ is denoted by $H^*(\mathfrak{g})$.

Definition 1. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $n = 2p$-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. A symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a closed 2-form θ which is also nondegenerate that is*

$$d\theta = 0, \quad \theta^p \neq 0.$$

A Lie algebra provided with a symplectic form θ will be called a symplectic Lie algebra and denoted by the pair $(\mathfrak{g},\theta)$.

A particular case corresponds to the Frobeniusian Lie algebras. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a symplectic Lie algebra with a symplectic form θ which is exact, that is there is $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ such that $\theta = d\alpha$. In this case the symplectic Lie algebra, $(\mathfrak{g},\theta) = (\mathfrak{g}, d\alpha)$ is called Frobeniusian. Let us note that the linear form α is, in this case, of maximal Cartan class equal to $2p = \dim\mathfrak{g}$. We deduce immediately the well known property that we have an open orbit in the coadjoint representation.

Considering a symplectic Lie algebra, we can be interested by additional geometrical structures adapted to the symplectic form such as a pseudo-riemannian structure or a complex structure (or both simultaneously) and also by geometrical properties associated with the symplectic one such as an affine structure.

Definition 2. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. An affine structure on $\mathfrak{g}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-bilinear product $\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ denoted XY satisfying*

$$\begin{cases} XY - YX = [X, Y], \\ X(YZ) - (XY)Z = Y(XZ) - (YX)Z, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

A Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$ admitting an affine structure is also called affine. Let us note that the nonassociative product XY is Lie-admissible and often called left-symmetric. In general it is very difficult for a given Lie algebra to decide whether it is affine or not. But we know partial results. For example, it is known that a $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfying $\mathfrak{g} = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ is not affine. Likewise, any complex nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension $n \leq 7$ is affine. But there exist examples of nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 10 which are not affine.

Proposition 3. *Any symplectic Lie algebra is affine.*

Proof. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra. We consider the product XY given by

$$XY = f(X)Y$$

where $f : \mathfrak{g} \to End(\mathfrak{g})$ is defined implicitly by

$$\theta(f(X)(Y), Z) = -\theta(Y, [X, Z])$$

for any $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{g}$. Since θ is symplectic, this product XY is well defined and provides $\mathfrak{g}$ with an affine structure.

Let $\{X_i\}$ be a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$ for which the symplectic form θ is reduced to the canonical form. Let A be its matrix $A = I_p \otimes S$ with $S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. We denote by T_X the matrix of ad_X with respect to the basis $\{X_i\}$ and by M_X the matrix of $f(X)$. We have

$${}^t(M_X Y)AZ = -{}^t Y A T_X Z,$$

this gives ${}^t M_X A = -AT_X$. But $A^{-1} = -A = {}^t A$. So ${}^t M_X = AT_X A$ and

$$M_X = A\,{}^t T_X A.$$

When θ is a frobeniusian form, that is $\theta = d\alpha$, the associated affine structure is written

$$\alpha[XY, Z] = -\alpha[Y, [X, Z]].$$

As we have said above, we can consider additional geometrical structures on a symplectic Lie algebra. One of the most interesting is the quadratic structure.

Definition 4. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be an n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. We say that $\mathfrak{g}$ is a quadratic Lie algebra if there exists a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form B on $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$B([x, y], z) = B(x, [y, z])$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$. In this case, we will say that B is an invariant scalar product on $\mathfrak{g}$ and we will denote by $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ this quadratic Lie algebra.

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is also a symplectic Lie algebra (and $n = 2p$), we have to consider the triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta, B)$ and we will speak about symplectic quadratic Lie algebra.

Proposition 5. *If $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta, B)$ is a symplectic quadratic Lie algebra then there exists a B-skew-symmetric invertible derivation D of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$\theta(X, Y) = B(D(X), Y)$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Conversely, if $(\mathfrak{g}, B)$ is a quadratic Lie algebra and D an inversible derivation, then θ defined by the previous formula is symplectic as soon as $n = 2p$. The characterization of nilpotent quadratic symplectic Lie algebras is due to Benson and Gordon ([1]).

To end these remarks, we can consider also complex structures on symplectic Lie algebras.

Definition 6. *A complex structure on an $n = 2p$-dimensional $\mathbb{R}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a linear endomorphism J of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that:*

(1) $J^2 = -Id$;
(2) $[JX, JY] - [X, Y] - J[JX, Y] - J[X, JY] = 0, \qquad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$

Assume now that $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ is a symplectic Lie algebra. A Kähler structure on $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ is given by a complex structure J, which is compatible with θ, that is

$$\theta(JX, JY) = \theta(X, Y) \qquad \text{for all } X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Any such Kähler structure defines a pseudo-Riemannian metric B on the Lie algebra in the following form:

$$B(X, Y) = \theta(X, JY).$$

1.2. **Deformations and contractions of symplectic Lie algebras.** Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra. Recall that the symplectic form satisfies

(1) an open condition : $\theta^p \neq 0$ where $2p = \dim \mathfrak{g}$,
(2) a closed condition : $d\theta = 0$.

The notion of formal deformation (see [**?**, 12]) is not adapted to these algebraic conditions. In fact, the $2p$-dimensional abelian Lie algebra $\mathcal{A}_{2p}$ is symplectic (any form is closed). But any $2p$-dimensional Lie algebra is isomorphic to a deformation of $\mathcal{A}_{2p}$ and we know many examples of $2p$-dimensional Lie algebras which are not symplectic.

The notion of contraction is better adapted. Recall the definition. Let V be a finite dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-vector space and $f :]0, 1] \to GL(V)$ be a continuous function. Let $[\ ,\ ]$ be a Lie bracket on V. We defined the one parametrized family of Lie brackets on V by

$$[x, y]_\varepsilon = f(\varepsilon)([f(\varepsilon)^{-1}(x), f(\varepsilon)^{-1}(y)]).$$

The Lie bracket $[\ ,\ ]_\varepsilon$ is isomorphic to $[\ ,\ ]$.

Definition 7. *If the limit*

$$[x, y]^0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0} [x, y]_\varepsilon$$

exists, then $[,]^0$ is a Lie bracket on V and the Lie algebra $(V, [,]^0)$ is called a contraction of the Lie algebra $(V, [,])$.

If we denote by $\mathcal{L}_n$ the algebraic variety whose elements are the Lie brackets on $\mathbb{K}^n$ (with the identification of a Lie bracket μ with its structure constants $\{C_{ij}^k\}$ related with a fixed basis $\{e_1, \cdots, e_n\}$ of $\mathbb{K}^n$ by $\mu(e_i, e_j) = \sum C_{ij}^k e_k$ satisfying Jacobi polynomial conditions), then $\mathcal{L}_n$ is fibered by the orbits associated with the action of $GL(\mathbb{K}^n)$ on $\mathcal{L}_n$, the orbit of a Lie bracket μ is constituted of all Lie bracket isomorphic to μ. We denote by $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$ the orbit of μ in $\mathcal{L}_n$. We provide this algebraic variety $\mathcal{L}_n$ with the Zariski topology and we denote by $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mu)}$ the closure of the orbit $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$. Let us note that when $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mu)}$ is also a differentiable manifold and the Zariski closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}(\mu)}$ in $\mathcal{L}_n$ coincides with the closure of $\mathcal{O}(\mu)$ in the vector space corresponding to the set of constant structures $\{C_{ij}^k\}$ with the classical metric topology.

Definition 8. *Let $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{K}^n, \mu)$ a n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra. A n-dimensional $\mathbb{K}$-Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathbb{K}^n, \mu_0)$ is a degenrescence of $\mathfrak{g}$ if $\mu_0 \in \overline{\mathcal{O}(\mu)}$.*

It is easy to see that any Lie algebra contraction of $(\mathbb{K}^n, \mathfrak{g})$ is a Lie algebra degeneration of this Lie algebra.

Assume now that $\mathfrak{g} = (\mathbb{K}^{2p}, \theta)$ is a symplectic Lie algebra. Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = (\mathbb{K}^{2p}, \mu_0)$ be a degenerescence of $\mathfrak{g}$. In general $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is not symplectic. For example let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the 6-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebra given by

$$\begin{cases} [X_1, X_2] = X_3,\ [X_1, X_3] = X_4, [X_1, X_4] = X_5, [X_1, X_5] = X_6, \\ [X_2, X_3] = X_5, [X_2, X_4] = X_6, \end{cases}$$

Denote by $\{\alpha_i\}_i$ the dual basis. The form $\theta = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_6 + 2\alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_5 - \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_4$ is symplectic. Let $\{Y_i\}_i$ be the new basis

$$\begin{cases} Y_i = \varepsilon X_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq 5, \\ Y_6 = \varepsilon^2 X_6. \end{cases}$$

We have

$$\begin{cases} [Y_1, Y_i] = \varepsilon^{-1} Y_{i+1},\ i = 2, 3, 4\ \ [Y_2, Y_3] = \varepsilon^{-1} Y_5, \\ [Y_1, Y_5] = Y_6, [Y_2, Y_4] = Y_6. \end{cases}$$

If $\varepsilon \to 0$ we obtain the contraction $\mathfrak{g}_0$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ defined by

$$\{\ [Y_1, Y_5] = Y_6, [Y_2, Y_4] = Y_6$$

which is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_5 \oplus \mathbb{K}$ where $\mathfrak{h}_5$ is the 5-dimensional Heisenberg algebra. We will see later that this Lie algebra is not symplectic.

However, we have

Proposition 9. *Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra and $\mathfrak{g}_0$ be a contraction of $\mathfrak{g}$ associated with the isomorphism f_ε. If there exists k such that $f_\varepsilon^* \theta = \varepsilon^k \theta$, then $(\mathfrak{g}_0, \theta)$ is also symplectic.*

1.3. **Symplectic nilpotent Lie algebras.** Since we are interested in this paper to the study of symplectic structures on some nilpotent Lie algebras, we will recall briefly some basis facts concerning these Lie algebras. The lower central series of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{C}^0(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}, \quad \mathrm{C}^j(\mathfrak{g}) = [\mathfrak{g}, \mathrm{C}^{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})], \ \ j \geq 1.$$

Then g is nilpotent if and only if there exists k with

$$\mathrm{C}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = 0.$$

The smallest index k such that $\mathrm{C}^k(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{C}^{k-1}(\mathfrak{g}) \neq 0$ is the nilindex of $\mathfrak{g}$. In this case we will say that $\mathfrak{g}$ is k-step nilpotent. An interesting class of nilpotent Lie algebras is the class of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras, sometimes called metabelian Lie algebras (see, for example, [**?**]). For a nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we define its *characteristic sequence* which is an invariant up to isomorphism and that we recall in the following: Let $X \neq 0$ be in $\mathfrak{g}$. The linear operator $ad(X)$ is nilpotent and we denote by $c(X)$ the decreasing sequence of the dimensions of Jordan blocks of $ad(X)$. The characteristic sequence of $\mathfrak{g}$ is

$$c(\mathfrak{g}) = \max\{c(X), X \in \mathfrak{g} - \mathrm{C}^1(\mathfrak{g})\},$$

considering the lexicographic order. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is k-step nilpotent, then $c(\mathfrak{g}) = (k, \cdots, k, k-1, \cdots, 1)$ where k appears n_k times, $k-1$ appears n_{k-1} times, $\cdots$, and 1 appears n_1 times with $n_k \neq 0$ and $n = \sum_{i=1}^{k} in_i$. Since $ad(X)(X) = 0$, we have also $n_1 \geq 1$. For example, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is 2-step nilpotent, then $c(\mathfrak{g}) = (2, \cdots, 2, 1, \cdots, 1)$.

The upper central series of $\mathfrak{g}$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{C}_0(\mathfrak{g}) = 0, \quad \mathrm{C}_j(\mathfrak{g}) = \{X \in \mathfrak{g} : [X, \mathfrak{g}] \subset \mathrm{C}_{j-1}(\mathfrak{g})\}, \ \ j \geq 1.$$

Theorem 10. [3]

Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{C}^0(\mathfrak{g}) \supset \mathrm{C}^1(\mathfrak{g}) \supset \dots$ and $0 = \mathrm{C}_0(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \mathrm{C}_1(\mathfrak{g}) \subset \dots$ be, respectively, the upper and lower central series of a Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a symplectic Lie algebra then

$$\dim \mathrm{C}_j(\mathfrak{g}) + \dim \mathrm{C}^j(\mathfrak{g}) \leq \dim \mathfrak{g}$$

for all $j \geq 0$.

We remark that this result doesn't give obstruction for 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with maximal characteristic sequence that is equal to $(2, \cdots, 2, 1, 1)$. In fact, from [10] we have in this case $\dim \mathrm{C}_j(\mathfrak{g}) + \dim \mathrm{C}^j(\mathfrak{g}) = \dim \mathfrak{g}$.

Theorem 11. *A nilpotent Lie algebra is never frobeniusian.*

Proof. This is a direct consequence of the following lemma proved in [10, 8].

Lemma 12. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a nilpotent Lie algebra over $\mathbb{K}$. Then the Cartan class of any non null linear form $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ is odd.*

Recall that the Cartan class of a linear form can be computed with

(1) $cl(\alpha) = 2p$ if and only if $(d\alpha)^p \neq 0, \alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^p = 0$.
(2) $cl(\alpha) = 2p+1$ if and only if $\alpha \wedge (d\alpha)^p \neq 0, (d\alpha)^{p+1} = 0$.

If $\theta = d\alpha$ is symplectic, its Cartan class is even, equal to the dimension of $\mathfrak{g}$. This is impossible.

There exists a process to construct all the nilpotent symplectic Lie algebras. It is often called the construction by double extension and it was described by Alberto Medina and Philippe Revoy ([15]). Let $(\mathfrak{g}, \theta)$ be a symplectic Lie algebra. We have seen that the product XY defined by

$$\theta(XY, Z) = -\theta(Y, [X, Z])$$

is left-symmetric, that is, satisfies (3). Let D be a derivation of this left-symmetric algebra. Then the bilinear map f on $\mathfrak{g}$ given by

$$f(X,Y) = \theta(D(X),Y) + \theta(X,D(Y))$$

is a closed 2-form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Then we can define a one-dimensional central extension $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{K}\{e\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ by

$$[X+\lambda e, Y+\beta e]_{\mathfrak{g}_1} = [X,Y] + f(X,Y)e.$$

If D^* denotes the adjoint of D with respect to the nondegenerate bilinear form θ, that is

$$\theta(D(X),Y) = \theta(X,D^*(Y)),$$

then the bilinear form g defined by

$$g(X,Y) = \theta(((D+D^*)\circ D + D^* \circ (D+D^*))X,Y)$$

is also a closed bilinear form. Assume that a closed form is an exact form, that is there exists $\alpha \in \mathfrak{g}^*$ with $g(X,Y) = d\alpha(X,Y) = -\alpha[X,Y]$. There exists $X_g \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$g(X,Y) = -\alpha[X,Y] = \theta(X_g,[X,Y])$$

for all $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$. We can now define a new derivation D_1 of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}_1$ by

$$\begin{cases} D_1(X) = -D(X) - \theta(X_g,X)e, \ \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}, \\ D_1(e) = 0, \end{cases}$$

and we consider the one-dimensional extension by derivation $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathbb{K}\{d\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}_1$. Its bracket is given by

$$\begin{cases} [X,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = [X,Y]_{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1 \\ [d,X]_{\mathfrak{g}_2} = -D_1(X) - \theta(X_g,X)e \end{cases}$$

We remark that $\dim \Gamma_2 = \dim \mathfrak{g} + 2$. The bilinear map on $\mathfrak{g}_2$ given by

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 \mid_{\mathfrak{g}\times\mathfrak{g}} = \theta \\ \theta_1(e,d) = 1 \end{cases}$$

the other non defined products being equal to 0, is a symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}_2$. This last symplectic Lie algebra is called a double extension of the symplectic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. Medina and Revoy have shown that any nilpotent symplectic Lie algebra is a double extension of a symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra.

2. 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras of dimension 8

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a non abelian 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra, its characteristic sequence is one of the following $(2,2,2,1,1), (2,2,1,1,1,1), (2,1,1,1,1,1,1)$. If $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}$ is the set of such Lie algebras, we have defined in [10] a notion of restricted deformations that is deformations of Lie algebras of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}$ remaining in this set. For this type of deformations, we have described the cohomology of deformations, denoted by $H^*_{CH}(\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{g})$ for $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{F}^{8,2}$.

2.1. **Lie algebras of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2}$.** Let $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}$ corresponding to Lie algebras of characteristic sequence $(2,2,2,1,1)$. Recall the description of this set ([10]). Let us denote by $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$ the 8-dimensional Lie algebra given by the brackets

$$[X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq 3,$$

and the other brackets are equal to zero or deduced by skew-symmetry. Any 2-step nilpotent 8-dimensional Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2,2,2,1,1)$ is isomorphic to a linear deformation of $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$. Its Lie bracket is isomorphic to $\mu = \mu_0 + t\varphi$, where μ_0 is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}$, and φ is a skew-bilinear map such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \in Z^2_{CH}(\mathfrak{g}_{3,2}, \mathfrak{g}_{3,2}), \\ \varphi \circ_1 \varphi = 0 \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

where $(\varphi \circ_1 \varphi)(X,Y,Z) = \varphi(\varphi(X,Y),Z)$. We deduce that the family $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2}$ of 2-step nilpotent 8-dimensional Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2,2,2,1,1)$ is the union of two algebraic components, the first one, $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2})$, corresponding to the cocycles

$$\varphi(X_{2i}, X_{2j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} a^{2k+1}_{2i,2j} X_{2k+1}, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 4, \tag{5}$$

the second one, $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2})$, to the cocyles

$$\varphi(X_{2i}, X_{2j}) = \sum_{k=1}^{3} a^{2k+1}_{2i,2j} X_{2k+1} + a^{8}_{2i,2j} X_8, \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq 3, \tag{6}$$

where the undefined products $\varphi(X,Y)$ are nul or obtained by skew-symmetry. Each of these components is a regular algebraic variety. These components can be characterized by the property of the number of generators. We have also mentioned that the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{8,1}$ of $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2})$ given by

$$[X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, \;\; i = 1,2,3, \quad [X_2, X_4] = X_7, \quad [X_4, X_8] = X_3, \quad [X_6, X_8] = X_5.$$

is rigid in the algebraic variety $2Nilp_8$ of 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras. Likewise, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{8,2}$ of $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2})$ defined by

$$[X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, \;\; i = 1,2,3, \quad [X_2, X_6] = X_5, \quad [X_2, X_4] = X_8.$$

is rigid in $2Nilp_8$.

2.2. **Lie algebras of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$.** Let $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}$ corresponding to Lie algebras of characteristic sequence $(2,2,1,1,1,1)$. This case is not treated in [10]. However, by proceeding in a similar way, we have immediately that if $\mathfrak{g}_{2,4}$ the 8-dimensional Lie algebra given by the brackets

$$[X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, \quad i = 1,2,$$

then 2-step nilpotent 8-dimensional Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2,2,1,1,1,1)$ is isomorphic to a linear deformation of $\mathfrak{g}_{2,4}$. Its Lie bracket is isomorphic to $\mu = \mu_0 + t\varphi$, where μ_0 is the Lie bracket of $\mathfrak{g}_{2,4}$, and φ is a skew-bilinear map such that

$$\begin{cases} \varphi \in Z^2_{CH}(\mathfrak{g}_{2,4}, \mathfrak{g}_{2,4}), \\ \varphi \circ_1 \varphi = 0. \end{cases}$$

We deduce that the family $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$ of 2-step nilpotent 8-dimensional Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2,2,1,1,1,1)$ is the union of two algebraic components, the first one, $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$, corresponding to the cocycles

$$\varphi(X_i,X_j)=\sum_{k=1}^{2}a_{i,j}^{2k+1}X_{2k+1},\quad 2\leq i<j\leq 8,\quad i,j\neq 3,5 \tag{7}$$

the second one, $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$, to the cocyles

$$\begin{cases}\varphi(X_i,X_j)=\sum_{k=1}^{2}a_{i,j}^{2k+1}X_{2k+1}, & (i,j)\in\{(2,6),(2,7),(4,6),(4,7),(6,7)\},\\ \varphi(X_2,X_4)=X_8\end{cases} \tag{8}$$

where the undefined products $\varphi(X,Y)$ are nul or obtained by skew-symmetry. Each of these components is a regular algebraic variety. These components can be characterized by the property of the number of generators.

2.3. **Lie algebras of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{1,6}$.** Let $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{1,6}$ be the subset of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}$ corresponding to Lie algebras of characteristic sequence $(2,1,1,1,1,1,1)$. We know, from [11] that any Lie algebras belonging to $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{1,6}$ is isomorphic to one of the following

- $\mathfrak{h}_3\oplus\mathcal{A}_5$
- $\mathfrak{h}_5\oplus\mathcal{A}_3$
- $\mathfrak{h}_7\oplus\mathcal{A}$

where $\mathfrak{h}_{2p+1}$ is the $(2p+1)$-dimensional Heisenberg algebra and $\mathcal{A}_r$ the abelian ideal of dimension r.

3. Symplectic structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras

3.1. **Recall some results.** We know many examples of 2-step nilpotent symplectic Lie algebras. The most recent [2] based on the determination of all nilradicals of parabolic subalgebras of split real simple Lie algebras admitting symplectic structures are described into the following list.

(1) The abelian Lie algebras of dimesion even.
(2) $\mathbb{K}\oplus\mathfrak{n}_{2,2}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$ where $\mathfrak{n}_{k,2}$ is the free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra generated by k elements.
(3) $\{X_i,Y_i,\,Z_{ij}:1\leq i,j\leq 2\}$, $[X_i,Y_j]=Z_{ij}$, $1\leq i,j\leq 2$.
(4) $\{X_i,Y_i,\,Z_{ij}:1\leq i\leq j\leq n\}$, $[X_i,Y_j]=[X_j,Y_i]=Z_{ij}$, $1\leq i\leq j\leq n$; $n=2,3$.
(5) $\{X_i,Y_i,\,Z_{ij}:1\leq i<j\leq 3\}$, $[X_i,Y_j]=-[X_j,Y_i]=Z_{ij}$, $1\leq i<j\leq 3$.
(6) $\mathbb{R}X\ltimes_{\mathrm{ad}_X}(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\oplus\mathbb{R}^{n-1})$ with $\mathrm{ad}_X=\left(\begin{smallmatrix}0&0\\ I&0\end{smallmatrix}\right)$.

Another interesting approach to determine symplectic structures on 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra is presented in [17]: given a finite graph $\mathcal{G}$, we consider the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space V generated by the vertices and the $\mathbb{K}$-vector space generated by the edges. Let $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}}$ be the Lie algebra whose underlying vector space is $V_0\oplus V_1$ and the Lie bracket defined by $[V_0,V_1]=[V_1,V_1]=0$ and $[X,Y]$ is the vector of V_1 if there exists an edge between the vertices X and Y. This bracket satisfies the Jacobi identity and $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra.

Proposition 13. [17] *Assume that $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}}$ is of dimension even. Then this Lie algebra is symplectic if and only if in each connected component of $\mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}}$, the number of edges doesn't exceed the number of vertices.*

For example, we consider $\mathfrak{n}_{k,2}$ the free 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with k generators. We have a natural basis $\{X_1, \cdots, X_k, Y_{ij} = [X_i, X_j], 1 \leq i < j \leq k\}$. There is a graph $\mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathfrak{n}_{k,2} = \mathfrak{g}_{\mathcal{G}}$. It is the polygon whose vertices are the generators $\{X_i\}$ and the edges all the diagonals. Then the numbers of vertices is k and the number of edges $\frac{k(k-1)}{2}$. From the previous proposition, $\mathfrak{n}_{k,2}$ admits a symplectic form if and only if $k \leq 3$. Since $\mathfrak{n}_{3,2}$ is of even dimension, it is the unique 2-step nilpotent free Lie algebra which admits a symplectic form.

To end this short list of known results, since the classification of 6-dimensional symplectic nilpotent Lie algebra is established (see [4, 9]), we deduce that

Proposition 14. *Any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 4 is symplectic. Any 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra of dimension 6 which is not isomorphic to the direct product $\mathfrak{h}_5 \oplus \mathcal{A}$ is symplectic where $\mathfrak{h}_5$ is the 5-dimensional Heisenberg algebra.*

In dimension 4, up to an isomorphism we have only one Lie algebra which is the direct product of the 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_3$ by $\mathbb{K}$, that is with bracket

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3$$

the other non defined being zero. In dimension 6, the indecomposable are isomorphic to one of the following

(1) $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_1, X_4] = X_5$, $[X_2, X_6] = X_5$ and $\theta = \alpha_1\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_6$ is symplectic.
(2) $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_1, X_4] = X_5$, $[X_2, X_6] = X_5$, $[X_4, X_6] = X_3$ and $\theta = \alpha_1\alpha_5 + \alpha_2\alpha_4 + \alpha_3\alpha_6$ is symplectic.
(3) If $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$, $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_1, X_4] = X_5$, $[X_2, X_6] = -X_5$, $[X_4, X_6] = X_3$ and $\theta = \alpha_4\alpha_5 + \alpha_1\alpha_6 + \alpha_3\alpha_2$ is symplectic.

The decomposable 2-step nilpotent $\mathcal{A}_6$, $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathfrak{h}_3$, $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathcal{A}_3$ are also symplectic where $\mathcal{A}_n$ is the n-dimensional abelian Lie algebra. But $\mathfrak{h}_5 \oplus \mathcal{A}$ is not symplectic. In fact, $\mathfrak{h}_5$ admits a linear contact form and its Reeb vector is in the Kernel of any closed skew-bilinear form of $\mathfrak{h}_5 \oplus \mathcal{A}$.

3.2. Case of dimension 8 and characteristic sequence $(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$.

3.2.1. *Case $n = 8$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2})$.* The Lie bracket of a Lie algebra in this family has the general form

$$\begin{cases} [X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3 \\ [X_{2i}, X_{2j}] = \sum_{k=1}^{3} C^{2k+1}_{2i,2j} X_{2k+1} + C^{8}_{2i,2j} X_8, \ 1 \leq i < j \leq 3. \end{cases} \tag{9}$$

If $C^8_{2i,2j} \neq 0$ for some pair (i, j), then, up to an isomorphism, we can assume that

$$[X_2, X_4] = X_8 \text{ and } \ C^8_{2,6} = 0 = C^8_{4,6}.$$

We denote by $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_8\}$ the dual basis of $\{X_1, \cdots, X_8\}$. Let θ be a symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$. The condition $d\theta = 0$ implies

$$\theta(X_3, X_5) = \theta(X_3, X_7) = \theta(X_3, X_8) = \theta(X_5, X_7) = \theta(X_5, X_8) = \theta(X_7, X_8) = 0$$

thus

$$\theta = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + \alpha_7 \wedge \beta_7 + \alpha_8 \wedge \beta_8$$

with $\beta_i = a_i^1\alpha_1 + a_i^2\alpha_2 + a_i^4\alpha_4 + a_i^6\alpha_6$ for $i = 3, 5, 7, 8$.
We consider the 2-form

$$\theta = \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_6 + \alpha_5 \wedge (\alpha_2 + \alpha_6) + \alpha_7 \wedge (\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) + \alpha_8 \wedge (\alpha_1 + a_8^6\alpha_6)$$

with $a_8^6 = C_{2,6}^7 - C_{4,6}^5 - C_{4,6}^7$. This form is symplectic on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Proposition 15. *Any 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra whose characteristic sequence is $(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ with 4 generators (that is belonging to $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}_{3,2}^{8,2})$) is symplectic.*

3.2.2. *Case $n = 8$ and $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}_{3,2}^{8,2})$.* Recall that the set $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}_{p-1,2}^{2p,2})$ is the family of 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras isomorphic to Lie algebras given by

$$\begin{cases} [X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1},\ i = 1, \cdots p-1, \\ [X_{2i}, X_{2j}] = \displaystyle\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} C_{2i,2j}^{2k+1} X_{2k+1},\ 1 \leq i < j \leq p. \end{cases}$$

In particular $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}_{3,2}^{8,2})$ is the closure of the rigid Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{8,2}$ given by

$$[X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1}, i = 1, 2, 3,\ [X_2, X_4] = X_7,\ [X_4, X_8] = X_3,\ [X_6, X_8] = X_5.$$

Proposition 16. *The 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_{8,2}$ which is rigid in $2Nilp_8$ is symplectic.*

Proof. Let $\theta = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_8 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_7 - \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_6 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_5$ where the α_i constitue the dual basis of the basis $\{X_i\}$. We have $d\theta = 0$ and $\theta^4 \neq 0$. The form θ is a symplectic. □

Let $g \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}_{3,2}^{8,2})$. It is a contraction of $\mathfrak{h}_{8,2}$. If the contraction is associated with the sequence of isomorphisms f_ε such that $\lim f_\varepsilon^*\theta$ is always of rank 4, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is symplectic. Now, we have to determinate all the other cases. If $\mathfrak{g}$ is symplectic, any symplectic form θ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies

$$\theta(X_i, X_j) = 0$$

for $i, j = 3, 5, 7$. Consider the decomposition $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with $\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + \alpha_7 \wedge \beta_7$ and $\beta_i(X_j) = 0$ for $i, j = 3, 5, 7$ and $\theta_2 = \sum a_{i,j}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j$ with $i, j \in I = \{1, 2, 4, 6, 8\}$. Since $d\alpha_i = 0$ for any $i \in I$, we have $d\theta_2 = 0$. Then θ symplectic implies $d\theta_1 = 0$. This implies that, in order to determinate symplectic Lie algebras, we have only to considerate on $\mathfrak{g}$ skew bilinear forms θ_1 with

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + \alpha_7 \wedge \beta_7$$

such that $d\theta_1 = 0$ and $\beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 \wedge \beta_7 \neq 0$. Since $d\beta_i = 0$, then $d\theta_1 = 0$ is equivalent to

$$d\alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + d\alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + d\alpha_7 \wedge \beta_7 = 0.$$

So instead of determining symplectic Lie algebras belonging to $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}_{3,2}^{8,2})$, we will characterize the Lie algebras of this family such that any closed 2-forms is not of maximal rank, that is satisfies $\theta^4 = 0$. This last condition is equivalent to $\theta_1^3 = 0$, that is, $\beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 \wedge \beta_7 = 0$. The linear forms $\beta_i, i = 3, 5, 7$ are not independent and we can assume that $\beta_7 = a\beta_3 + b\beta_5$. Thus

$$\theta_1 = (\alpha_3 + a\alpha_7) \wedge \beta_3 + (\alpha_5 + b\alpha_7) \wedge \beta_5$$

and there exists a change of basis respecting the characteristic sequence giving

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5$$

that is $\beta_7 = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not symplectic if the condition $d\theta_1 = 0$ implies $\beta_7 = 0$. In a first step, we assume that $\beta_i = \sum_{j=2,4,6,8} a_{i,j}\alpha_j$. The condition $d\theta_1 = 0$ implies that $\beta_i(X_8) = 0$ for $i = 3, 5, 7$, that is $a_{i,8} = 0$, and $\beta_i = \sum_{j=2,4,6} a_{i,j}\alpha_j$. Let M_i be the matrix

$$M_i = \begin{pmatrix} C^i_{46} & -C^i_{26} & C^i_{24} \\ C^i_{48} & -C^i_{28} & 0 \\ C^i_{68} & 0 & -C^i_{28} \\ 0 & C^i_{68} & -C^i_{48} \end{pmatrix}$$

for $i = 3, 5, 7$. The conditions $d\theta_1(X_{2i}, X_{2j}, X_{2k}) = 0$ are equivalent to

$$M_7 A_7 = -M_3 A_3 - M_5 A_5$$

where $A_i =^t (a_{i,2}, a_{i,4}, a_{i,6})$, $i = 3, 5, 7$. If we want that $(d\theta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow \beta_7 = 0)$ we have that $(d\theta_1 = 0 \Rightarrow A_7 = 0)$, then $-M_3A_3 - M_5A_5 = 0$ and the rank of M_7 is 3. So if $\mathfrak{g}$ is not symplectic, it implies that one of the expressions $C^7_{2,8}\Delta$, $C^7_{4,8}\Delta$, $C^7_{6,8}\Delta$ is not zero, where $\Delta = C^7_{2,8}C^7_{46} + C^7_{2,4}C^7_{6,8} - C^7_{2,6}C^7_{4,8}$. The condition $\Delta \neq 0$ is equivalent to the say that the 2-form $d\alpha_7 - \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_6$ is of (maximal) rank 4. If moreover $C^7_{6,8} \neq 0$, up to isomorphism adapted to the characteristic sequence, we can reduce the form $d\alpha_7$ to

$$d\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_6 - \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 - \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_8$$

and $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra given by

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_6 - \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 - \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_8 \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8. \end{cases}$$

We verify directly that this Lie algebra doesn't admits general symplectic form (corresponding to $a_{i,1} \neq 0$ for some $i \in \{3, 5, 7\}$). In all the other cases, there exists a symplectic form with $a_{7,1} = 1$. We deduce:

Theorem 17. *A 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra whose characteristic sequence is $(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$ is symplectic if and only if it is not isomorphic to the Lie algebra whose Maurer-Cartan equations are*

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_7 = -\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_6 - \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 - \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_8 \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 8. \end{cases} \tag{10}$$

3.3. **Case of dimension 8 and characteristic sequence $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$.** Let $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$ be the family of 8-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras whose characteristic sequence is equal to $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. We have seen that this set is constituted of two components, $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ whose elements are Lie algebras with 6 generators and $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ whose elements are Lie algebras with 5 generators.

3.3.1. $n = 8$ *and* $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$. Let us consider the first component $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$. Let $\mathfrak{k}_8 \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ whose Lie bracket is defined by the corresponding Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \wedge \alpha_8 \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_8 + \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_7, \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

where $\{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_8\}$ is the dual basis of $\{X_1, \cdots, X_8\}$.

Proposition 18. *The Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{k}_8$ *is rigid in* $2Nilp_8$.

Proof. Let us note, in a first step, that $\mathfrak{k}_8$ cannot be deformed in $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2}$, that is, in a Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2, 2, 2, 1, 1)$. In fact, in $\mathfrak{k}_8$, the linear forms α_3 and α_5 have a Cartan class equal to 7 (see, for the notions of Cartan classes [11]). But in any Lie algebra of $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{3,2}$, the center is of dimension greater than or equal to 3, this implies that the Cartan class of any linear form at most 6. Since the maximal class is growing in the deformation process, this show that any deformation in $2Nilp_8$ of $\mathfrak{k}_8$ remains in $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$. Then, we have to study deformations of $\mathfrak{k}_8$ in $\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4}$. We consider the deformation cohomology $H^*_{CH}(\mathfrak{k}_8, \mathfrak{k}_8)$ introduced in [10]. We can assume that any 2-cocycle φ satisfies $\varphi(X_1, Y) = 0$ for any Y. Then $\dim Z^2_{CH}(\mathfrak{k}_8, \mathfrak{k}_8) = 20$ because we have

$$\varphi(X_i, X_j) = a^3_{ij} X_3 + a^5_{ij} X_5$$

for $i = 2, 4, 6, 7$ and $j = 4, 6, 7, 8$ and $i < j$. Let f be a linear endomorphism of $\mathfrak{k}_8$. Assume that $\delta_{CH} f(X_1, X) = 0$. This implies that

$$f(X_3) = [f(X_1), X_2] + [X_1, f(X_2)], \;\; f(X_5) = [f(X_1), X_4] + [X_1, f(X_4)]$$

and

$$[f(X_1), X_i] + [X_1, f(X_i)] = 0, \;\; i = 3, 5, 6, 7, 8.$$

This last identity is satisfied for $i = 3$ and $i = 5$ because X_3, X_5, $f(X_3)$ and $f(X_5)$ are in the center. The other identities imply

$$b^6_2 = -b^1_4, b^6_4 = b^1_7, b^7_2 = b^1_8, b^7_4 = -b^1_6, b^8_2 = -b^1_7, b^8_4 = -b^1_2.$$

Now, if we compute $\delta_{CH}\varphi(X_i, X_j)$ for $i = 2, 4, 6, 7$ and $j = 4, 6, 7, 8$ and $j > i$, writing $\varphi(X_i, X_j) = a^3_{ij} X_3 + a^5_{ij} X_5$, we see that we have no relations between all these coefficients. We deduce that $\dim B^2_{CH}(\mathfrak{k}_8, \mathfrak{k}_8) = 20$ and $H^2_{CH}(\mathfrak{k}_8, \mathfrak{k}_8) = 0$. Then this Lie algebra is rigid in $2Nilp_8$.

Corollary 19. *The component* $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ *is the closure of the orbit in* $2Nilp_8$ *of* $\mathfrak{k}_8$. *Any Lie algebra of* $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ *not isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{k}_8$ *is a contraction of* $\mathfrak{k}_8$.

Proposition 20. *The Lie algebra* $\mathfrak{k}_8$ *is not symplectic.*

Proof. Let θ be a skew-symmetric bilinear map on $\mathfrak{k}_8$. We put $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with

$$\theta_2 = \sum a_{ij} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j, \quad \text{with } 1 \leq i < j \leq 8, \text{and } i, j \neq 3, 5$$

and

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i \alpha_i) + \alpha_5 \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{8} b_i \alpha_i)$$

with $a_3 = b_3 = b_5 = 0$. We have $d\theta_2 = 0$, so θ is closed if and only if $d\theta_1 = 0$. But

$$d\theta_1 = (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \wedge \alpha_8) \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{8} a_i \alpha_i) + (\alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_8 + \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_7) \wedge (\sum_{i=1}^{8} b_i \alpha_i)$$

and $d\theta_1 = 0$ if and only if $a_i = b_i = 0$ for any $i = 1, \cdots, 8$. Then $\theta_1 = 0$, $\theta = \theta_2$. But θ_2 is not of maximal rank because X_3 and X_5 are in the kernel of this form. This implies that θ which is closed, is not symplectic.

Remark. Some contractions of $\mathfrak{k}_8$ can be writen

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \rho_1 \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + \rho_2 \alpha_7 \wedge \alpha_8 \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \rho_3 \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_8 + \rho_4 \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_7, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i \neq 3, 5 \end{cases}$$

where the parameters ρ_i are parameters of contraction. By simple computation, we can see that the symplectic Lie algebras of this family are Lie algebras with

$$\rho_1 = \rho_4 = 0, \text{ or } \rho_2 = \rho_3 = 0, \text{ or } \rho_2 = \rho_4 = 0.$$

In each one of these cases, the corresponding Lie algebra is decomposable. We will show that this property is true in any (even) dimension greater than 8.

Proposition 21. *Any* 8*-dimensional* 2*-step nilpotent symplectic Lie algebra with characteristic sequence* $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ *and belonging to* $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ *is decomposable.*

Proof. We have seen that the general Maurer-Cartan system of Lie algebras of $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ is

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i < j \leq 8 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} a^3_{ij} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \sum_{\substack{2 \leq i < j \leq 8 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} a^5_{ij} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra of $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$. Assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ is symplectic and let θ be a symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $d\theta = 0$, we have in particular

$$d\theta(X_1, X_2, X_5) = \theta(X_3, X_5) = 0.$$

Then, $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with

$$\begin{cases} \theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5, \\ \theta_2 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 8 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} \rho_{i,j} \alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \end{cases}$$

with $\beta_3 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 8 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} a_i \alpha_i$ and $\beta_5 = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq 8 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} b_i \alpha_i$. It is clear that $d\theta_2 = 0$, then θ is symplectic if and only if $d\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_1^2 \neq 0$. We will study the forms θ_1.

• Assume that a_1 or $b_1 \neq 0$. We can consider that $a_1 \neq 0$ and more precisely $a_1 = 1$. We have

$$\left\{\begin{array}{rcl}\theta_1 &=& \alpha_3 \wedge (\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + a_4\alpha_4 + a_6\alpha_6 + a_7\alpha_7 + a_8\alpha_8) + \alpha_5 \wedge (b_1\alpha_1 + b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4 \\ && +b_6\alpha_6 + b_7\alpha_7 + b_8\alpha_8) \\ &=& (\alpha_3 + b_1\alpha_5) \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 \wedge (a_2\alpha_2 + a_4\alpha_4 + a_6\alpha_6 + a_7\alpha_7 + a_8\alpha_8) + \alpha_5 \wedge (b_2\alpha_2 \\ && +b_4\alpha_4 + b_6\alpha_6 + b_7\alpha_7 + b_8\alpha_8) \\ &=& (\alpha_3 + b_1\alpha_5) \wedge (\alpha_1 + a_2\alpha_2 + a_4\alpha_4 + a_6\alpha_6 + a_7\alpha_7 + a_8\alpha_8) + \alpha_5 \wedge ((b_2 - b_1a_2)\alpha_2 \\ && +(b_4 - b_1a_4)\alpha_4 + (b_6 - b_1a_6)\alpha_6 + (b_7 - b_1a_7)\alpha_7 + (b_8 - b_1a_8)\alpha_8)\end{array}\right.$$

This shows that, considering the change of basis $\alpha'_2 = \alpha_2 + b_1\alpha_4, \alpha'_3 = \alpha_3 + b_1\alpha_5$ and $\alpha'_i = \alpha_i$ for all the other elements of the basis, we can assume that

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5$$

with

$$a_1 = 1,\ b_1 = 0.$$

Since $a_1 = 1$, we consider $\widetilde{\alpha_1} = \beta_3$ and $\widetilde{\alpha_i} = \alpha_i$ for all the other indices. This change of basis doesn't affect the Maurer-Cartan system (12). Then, we can assume, in the original basis, that

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5$$

with

$$\beta_5 = b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4 + b_6\alpha_6 + b_7\alpha_7 + b_8\alpha_8.$$

The condition $d\theta_1 = 0$ implies, in particular that

$$a^3_{26} = a^3_{27} = a^3_{28} = a^3_{67} = a^3_{68} = a^3_{78} = 0$$

that is

$$d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + a^3_{24}\alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 + a^3_{46}\alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6 + a^3_{47}\alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_7 + a^3_{48}\alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_8.$$

If one of the parameters b_6, b_7, b_8 is not zero, for example b_6, a change of basis which preserves the previous reduction gives

$$\beta_5 = b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4 + b_6\alpha_6$$

and putting $\widetilde{\alpha}_6 = b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4 + b_6\alpha_6$, the expression of $d\alpha_3$ is preserved. This shows that, in this case, if $\mathfrak{g}$ is symplectic, there exists a symplectic form associated with

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \wedge \alpha_6.$$

In this case $d\theta_1 = 0$ is equivalent to $a^3_{24} = a^3_{47} = a^3_{48} = 0, 1 = -a^3_{46}, a^5_{24} = a^5_{27} = a^5_{28} = a^5_{47} = a^5_{48} = a^5_{78} = 0$.

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 - \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + (a^5_{26}\alpha_2 + a^5_{46}\alpha_4 - a^5_{67}\alpha_7 - a^5_{68}\alpha_8) \wedge \alpha_6. \end{array}\right.$$

If $b_6 = b_7 = b_8 = 0$, that is $\beta_5 = b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4$, then $\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \alpha_1 + \alpha_5 \wedge (b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4)$ and $d\theta_1 = 0$ implies $d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + a^3_{24}\alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4$ and $b_2 = a^3_{24}$ and $\theta_1^2 \neq 0$ implies $a^5_{67} = a^5_{68} = a^5_{78} = 0$. This permits to consider $a^5_{67} = a^5_{68} = a^5_{78} = 0$. Then the linear space generated by X_6, X_7, X_8 is an abelian subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$. The endomorphisms adX_i, $i = 6, 7, 8$ acts non trivially only on the space generated by X_2 and X_4. Thus, we can consider that there exists $i \in \{6, 7, 8\}$ with $adX_i = 0$ and the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable.

• Assume that $a_1 = b_1 = 0$. Similar computations show that $\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge (a_2\alpha_2 + a_4\alpha_4) + \alpha_5 \wedge (b_2\alpha_2 + b_4\alpha_4)$ with $a_2b_4 - a_4b_2 \neq 0$ and the Maurer-Cartan equations can be reduced to one of the following system:

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + (a^5_{24}\alpha_2 - a^5_{46}\alpha_6 - a^5_{47}\alpha_7 - a^5_{48}\alpha_8) \wedge \alpha_4 \\ d\alpha_i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

or

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \wedge (a^5_{24}\alpha_4 + a^5_{26}\alpha_6 + a^5_{27}\alpha_7 + a^5_{28}\alpha_8) \\ d\alpha_i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

In these two cases, $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. We deduce the proposition.

3.3.2. $n = 8$ *and* $\mathfrak{g} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$. The general Maurer-Cartan system of Lie algebras of $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{8,2}_{2,4})$ is

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{2 \leq i < j \leq 7 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} a^3_{ij}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \displaystyle\sum_{\substack{2 \leq i < j \leq 7 \\ i,j \neq 3,5}} a^5_{ij}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j \\ d\alpha_8 = \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, \end{cases}$$

If some constant a^3_{ij} or a^5_{ij} is not zero for i or j greater than or equal to 6, then the characteristic sequence is not $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_8 = \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, \end{cases} \tag{13}$$

and $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable.

3.3.3. *Conclusion.*

Theorem 22. *Any symplectic Lie algebra with characteristic sequence* $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ *is decomposable.*

We deduce immediately the description of symplectic Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 1)$. The notation corresponds to the list given in

www.livres-mathematics.fr

and the notation $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ corresponds to a direct product of two ideals.

1. Complex case

- $\mathfrak{n}_7^{124} \oplus \mathbb{C}$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_7, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_6 \wedge \alpha_7, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 \end{cases}$$

- $\mathfrak{n}_6^{19} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 \end{cases}$$

- $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20} \oplus \mathbb{C}^2$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_6 = \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 7, 8 \end{cases}$$

- $\mathfrak{n}_5^5 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 \end{cases}$$

- $\mathfrak{n}_3^1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_3^1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^3$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8. \end{cases}$$

2. Real case.

- $\mathfrak{n}_7^{124} \oplus \mathbb{R}$
- $\mathfrak{n}_6^{19} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
- $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$
- $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^2$ with Maurer-Cartan equations

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 - \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_6, \\ d\alpha_i = 0, \ i = 1, 2, 4, 6, 7, 8 \end{cases}$$

- $\mathfrak{n}_5^5 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$,
- $\mathfrak{n}_3^1 \oplus \mathfrak{n}_3^1 \oplus \mathbb{R}^3$.

3.4. **Case of dimension** 8 **and characteristic sequence** $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$**.** A Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{K}^5$ or $\mathfrak{h}_5 \oplus \mathbb{K}^3$ or $\mathfrak{h}_7 \oplus \mathbb{K}$ ([9]). We deduce

Theorem 23. *Any* 8*-dimensional* 2*-step nilpotent Lie algebra with characteristic sequence* $(2, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1)$ *is symplectic if and only if it is isomorphic to* $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{K}^5$.

4. Leading to the general case

4.1. **Symplectic Lie algebras in $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{1,2p-2}$.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $2p$-dimensional symplectic nilpotent Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2,1,\cdots,1)$. We have recalled that such a Lie algebra is a direct sum of an Heisenberg algebra and an abelian ideal. From the previous section, we can affirm

Theorem 24. *Any $2p$-dimensional 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2,1,\cdots,1)$ is symplectic if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_3 \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-3}$.*

4.2. **Symplectic Lie algebras in $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{2,2p-4}$.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a $2p$-dimensional nilpotent Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2,2,1,\cdots,1)$. We have seen that $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{2,2p-4}$ is the union of two components, the first corresponding to Lie algebras with $2p-2$ generators and the second to Lie algebras with $2p-3$ generators. The second case is very simple to describe. From the previous section, we know that any Lie algebra belonging to $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{2,2p-4})$ is isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2n-6}$ and these Lie algebras are symplectic. Assume now that $\mathfrak{g}$ is in $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{2,2p-4})$. We have seen, using computational method, that for $p=4$, $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. We will show the same result, but for any $p \geq 4$, using geometrical method.

The Maurer-Cartan equations of $\mathfrak{g}$ can be reduced to

$$(14) \qquad \begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2 + \sum a^3_{ij}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j, \quad 2 \leq i < j \leq 2p,\ i,j \neq 3,5 \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4 + \sum a^5_{ij}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j, \quad 2 \leq i < j \leq 2p,\ i,j \neq 3,5, d\alpha_i = 0,\ i \neq 3,5. \end{cases}$$

Let θ be a symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$. It can be decomposed in $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with $\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5$, $d\theta_1 = 0$ and $\theta_1^2 \neq 0$. This implies

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + d\alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 = 0, \\ d\alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 = 0, \\ d\alpha_5 \wedge \beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 = 0, \end{cases}$$

and $\theta_2(X_3, Y) = \theta_2(X_5, Y) = 0$ for any Y. Thus there exists linear forms $\gamma_j \in \mathbb{K}\{\alpha_i, i \neq 3,5\}$ with

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \beta_3 \wedge \gamma_1 + \beta_5 \wedge \gamma_2 \\ d\alpha_5 = \beta_3 \wedge \gamma_3 + \beta_5 \wedge \gamma_4, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 \wedge \gamma_2 - \beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 \wedge \gamma_3 = 0.$$

This implies

$$\gamma_3 = \gamma_2 + a\beta_3 + b\beta_5$$

and

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \beta_3 \wedge \gamma_1 + \beta_5 \wedge \gamma_2 \\ d\alpha_5 = \beta_3 \wedge \gamma_2 + \beta_5 \wedge (b\beta_3 + \gamma_4), d\alpha_i = 0,\ i \neq 3,5. \end{cases}$$

We deduce

Theorem 25. *Any $2p$-dimensional symplectic 2-step nilpotent Lie algebras with characteristic sequence $(2,2,1,\cdots,1)$ and $p \geq 4$ is decomposable and isomorphic to $\mathfrak{n}_7^{124} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-7}$, $\mathfrak{n}_6^{19} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-6}$, $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-6}$, $\mathfrak{n}_5^{5} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-5}$, $\mathfrak{n}_3^{1} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_3^{1} \oplus \mathbb{K}^{2p-3}$ for $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$ or $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathfrak{n}_6^{20,1} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{2p-6}$.*

4.3. **Symplectic Lie algebras in $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{k,2p-2k}$.** Lie algebras of the set $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{k,2p-2k}$ are of characteristic sequence $(2,\cdots,2,1,\cdots,1)$ where 2 appears k-times. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be in $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{k,2p-2k}$. We assume that $\mathfrak{g}$ admits $2p-(k+1)$ generators. The others cases could be studied in a same way. We consider a basis adapted to the characteristic sequence, the dual basis satisfying the Maurer-Cartan equations:

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1\wedge\alpha_2 + \sum a^3_{ij}\alpha_i\wedge\alpha_j, \quad 2\leq i<j\leq 2p,\ i,j\neq 3,5,\cdots,2k+1,\\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1\wedge\alpha_4 + \sum a^5_{ij}\alpha_i\wedge\alpha_j, \quad 2\leq i<j\leq 2p,\ i,j\neq 3,5,\cdots,2k+1,\\ \cdots\\ d\alpha_{2k+1} = \alpha_1\wedge\alpha_{2k} + \sum a^{2k}_{ij}\alpha_i\wedge\alpha_j, \quad 2\leq i<j\leq 2p,\ i,j\neq 3,5,\cdots,2k+1,\\ d\alpha_i = 0,\ i\neq 3,5.\cdots,2k+1. \end{cases}$$

Let θ be a symplectic form on $\mathfrak{g}$. It can be decomposed in $\theta=\theta_1+\theta_2$ with $\theta_1=\alpha_3\wedge\beta_3+\alpha_5\wedge\beta_5+\cdots+\alpha_{2k+1}\wedge\beta_{2k+1}$, $d\theta_1=0$, $\theta_2(X_3,Y)=\theta_2(X_5,Y)=\cdots=\theta_2(X_{2k+1},Y)=0$ for any Y and $\theta_2^k\neq 0$. This implies, since by hypothesis $d\beta_i=0$,

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3\wedge\beta_3 + d\alpha_5\wedge\beta_5+\cdots+d\alpha_{2k+1}\wedge\beta_{2k+1}=0,\\ d\alpha_3\wedge\beta_3\wedge\beta_5\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{2k+1}=0,\\ d\alpha_5\wedge\beta_3\wedge\beta_5\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{2k+1}=0,\\ \cdots\\ d\alpha_{2k+1}\wedge\beta_3\wedge\beta_5\wedge\cdots\wedge\beta_{2k+1}=0, \end{cases}$$

Thus there exists linear forms $\gamma_{i,j}\in\mathbb{K}\{\alpha_i, i\neq 3,5,\cdots,2k+1\}$ with

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \beta_3\wedge\gamma_{3,1}+\beta_5\wedge\gamma_{3,2}+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}\wedge\gamma_{3,k},\\ d\alpha_5 = \beta_3\wedge\gamma_{5,1}+\beta_5\wedge\gamma_{5,2}+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}\wedge\gamma_{5,k},\\ \cdots\\ d\alpha_{2k+1} = \beta_3\wedge\gamma_{2k+1,1}+\beta_5\wedge\gamma_{2k+1,2}+\cdots+\beta_{2k+1}\wedge\gamma_{2k+1,k}, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\gamma_{2i+1,j}=\gamma_{2j+1,i} \bmod \beta_3,\cdots,\beta_{2k+1}.$$

We deduce

Theorem 26. *Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-step nilpotent symplectic Lie algebra with characteristic sequence $(2,\cdots,2,1,\cdots,1)$ and dimension $2p=2k+l$ where k is the number of 2 in the characteristic sequence. If $\dim\mathfrak{g}=2p>\dfrac{k(k+5)}{2}$, then $\mathfrak{g}$ is decomposable. It is a direct product of a $\dfrac{k(k+5)}{2}$-dimensional Lie algebra by an abelian ideal.*

Remark. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a 2-step nilpotent Lie algebra with $c(\mathfrak{g})=(2,\cdots,2,1,\cdots,1)$ and dimension $2p=2k+l$ where k is the number of 2 in the characteristic sequence. We assume that $g=\mathfrak{h}\oplus\mathcal{A}_s$ where $\mathcal{A}_s$ is an abelian ideal. Then $c(\mathfrak{h})=(2,\cdots,2,1,\cdots,1)$ and k is always the number of 2 in this sequence. As consequence, if $\mathfrak{g}\in\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{k,2p-2k}$ is symplectic and indecomposable, then $\dim\mathfrak{g}\leq\dfrac{k(k+5)}{2}$.

4.4. **Symplectic Lie algebras in $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{p-1,2}$.** From [10], the set $\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{p-1,2}$ is the union of two algebraic components, each one being characterized by the number of generators. We consider

here the component $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{p-1,2})$ because we have seen that in dimension 8 some Lie algebras of this family are not symplectic. A Lie algebra of $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathcal{F}^{2p,2}_{p-1,2})$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} [X_1, X_{2i}] = X_{2i+1},\ i = 1, \cdots p-1, \\ [X_{2i}, X_{2j}] = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} C^{2k+1}_{2i,2j} X_{2k+1},\ 1 \leq i < j \leq p. \end{cases}$$

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is symplectic, any symplectic form θ on $\mathfrak{g}$ satisfies

$$\theta(X_i, X_j) = 0$$

for $i, j = 3, 5, \cdots, 2p-1$. Consider the decomposition $\theta = \theta_1 + \theta_2$ with

$$\theta_1 = \alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + \alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + \cdots + \alpha_{2p-1} \wedge \beta_{2p-1}$$

and $\beta_i(X_j) = 0$ for $i, j = 3, 5, \cdots, 2p-1$ and $\theta_2 = \sum a_{i,j}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j$ with $i, j \in I = \{1, 2, 4, \cdots, 2p\}$. Since $d\alpha_i = 0$ for any $i \in I$, we have $d\theta_2 = 0$. Then θ symplectic implies $d\theta_1 = 0$. This is equivalent to

$$d\alpha_3 \wedge \beta_3 + d\alpha_5 \wedge \beta_5 + \cdots + d\alpha_{2p-1} \wedge \beta_{2p-1} = 0.$$

Similarly to the case of dimension 8, we characterize the Lie algebras such that any closed 2-forms is not of maximal rank, that is satisfies $\theta^p = 0$. This last condition is equivalent to $\theta_1^{p-1} = 0$, that is, $\beta_3 \wedge \beta_5 \wedge \cdots \wedge \beta_{2p-1} = 0$. The linear forms $\beta_i, i = 3, 5, \cdots, 2p-1$ are not independent and there exists a change of basis respecting the characteristic sequence giving $\beta_{2p-1} = 0$. Then $\mathfrak{g}$ is not symplectic if the condition $d\theta_1 = 0$ implies $\beta_{2p-1} = 0$. A computation similar to the one in section 4.2 shows that α_{2p-1} is of maximal Cartan Class and $\mathfrak{g}$ is isomorphic to

$$\begin{cases} d\alpha_3 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_2, \\ d\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_4, \\ \cdots \\ d\alpha_{2p-3} = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_{2p-4}, \\ d\alpha_{2p-1} = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_{2p-2} + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq p} C^{2k+1}_{2i,2j} \alpha_{2i} \wedge \alpha_{2j}, \\ d\alpha_{2p} = 0. \end{cases}$$

Such a Lie algebra doesn't admit a general symplectic form (that is without the condition $\beta_i(X_1) = 0$) if and only if $C^{2p-1}_{2p-2,2p} \neq 0$. In this case, since α_{2p-1} is of maximal Cartan Class and $C^{2p-1}_{2p-2,2p} \neq 0$, we can reduce the form $d\alpha_{2p-1}$ to

$$d\alpha_{2p-1} = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_{2p-2} + \alpha_{2p-2} \wedge \alpha_{2p} + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 + \cdots + \alpha_{2p-6} \wedge \alpha_{2p-4}$$

if p is even or

$$d\alpha_{2p-1} = \alpha_1 \wedge \alpha_{2p-2} + \alpha_{2p-2} \wedge \alpha_{2p} + \alpha_2 \wedge \alpha_4 + \cdots + \alpha_{2p-8} \wedge \alpha_{2p-6}$$

if p is odd.

References

[1] Benson, C., Gordon, C., *Kähler and symplectic structures on nilmanifolds*, Topology, **27** (4) (1988), 513–518.

[2] del Barco, V., *Symplectic structures on free nilpotent Lie algebras*, preprint (2011), arXiv:1111.3280 (math.DG).

[3] del Barco, V., *Symplectic structures on nilmanifolds: an obstruction for their existences*, J. Lie Theory, **24** (3) (2014), 889–908.

[4] Bouyakoub, A., Goze, M.. *Sur les algèbres de Lie munies d'une forme symplectique*, Rend. Sem. Fac. Sci. Univ. Cagliari, 57 (1987), 85–97.
[5] Burde, D., *Characteristically nilpotent Lie algebras and symplectic structures*, Forum Mathematicum 18, Issue 5, 769–787 (2006).
[6] Cagliero, L. del Barco, V. Nilradicals of parabolic subalgebras admitting symplectic structures arXiv:1502.07201.
[7] Dotti, I., Tirao, P., *Symplectic structures on Heisenberg-type nilmanifolds*, Manuscr. Math., **102** (3) (2000), 383–401.
[8] Goze, M, *Modèles d' algèbres de Lie frobeniusiennes* [Models for Frobenius Lie algebras] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 293 (1981), no. 8, 425?427.
[9] Goze, M., Khakimdjanov, Y., *Nilpotent Lie algebras. Mathematics and its Applications*, 361. Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1996. xvi+336 pp. ISBN: 0-7923-3932-0
[10] Goze, M., Remm, E., *k-step nilpotent Lie algebras*, Georgian Math. J. 22 (2015), no. 2, 219–234.
[11] Goze, M., Remm, E., *Contact and Frobeniusian forms on Lie groups*, Differential Geom. Appl. 35 (2014), 74–94.
[12] Goze, M., Remm, E., *Valued deformations of algebras*, J. Algebra Appl. 3 (2004), no. 4, 345–365.
[13] Gómez, J., Jiménez-Merchán, A. and Khakimdjanov, Y., *Symplectic structures on filiform Lie algebras*, Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra, **156** (1) (2001), 15–31.
[14] Guan, Z.-D., *Toward a classification of compact nilmanifolds with symplectic structures*, International Mathematics Research Notices **49** (2010), 4377-4384.
[15] Medina, A., Revoy, Ph., *Groupes de Lie à structure symplectique invariante*. Symplectic geometry, groupoids, and integrable systems (Berkeley, CA, 1989), 247-266, Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., 20, Springer, New York, 1991.
[16] Millionschikov, D., *Graded filiform Lie algebras and symplectic nilmanifolds*, Geometry, topology, and mathematical physics. Selected papers from S. P. Novikov's seminar held in Moscow, Russia, 2002–2003 (2004), 259–279
[17] Pouseele, H., Tirao, P., *Compact symplectic nilmanifolds associated with graphs*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **213** (9) (2009), 1788–1794.

E.R:Université de Haute Alsace, LMIA, 4 rue des Frères Lumière, F.68093 Mulhouse.
M.G: Ramm Algebra Center, F68800 Rammersmatt

E-mail address: elisabeth.remm@uha.fr, goze.rac@gmail.com